

Submission Document on behalf of 11th May 2016
Little Clacton Parish Council

In response to the Local Plan meeting at the Princes Theatre April 2016 Little Clacton Parish Council want to place on record our unanimous objection to the proposed red line being sought for by Planning Officers (the recent refused site by the very same Planning Officers application **15/00131/out The Street Little Clacton in February 2015**) It seems the **once refused site just three months ago** was regarded and I quote, **a harsh and dominant residential estate resulting in urbanization which results in an abrupt transition between the rural landscape and village and is against the NPF. All the Planning Officers objections in that application 15/00131 are as relevant now as was then.**

Yet the same officers seem to feel that **98 properties are now acceptable on the same site, whatever happened to the harsh and dominant residential estate? Abrupt transition between Rural and Village? Urbanization,** and remember this proposed development is near the centre of the Village, exiting on to the only road a B road the B1441 the very road that **Planning Officers said was unacceptable for a large development in Weeley Heath not 2 miles from the proposed site.** Planning officers and the Planning committee need to be mindful that this site is the **most contentious development to come before the Village of Little Clacton with some 442 letters of objection to the site and a petition in excess of 500 signatures.**

We do not understand the **non inclusion** as pointed out in our submission by Cllr M Brown at the **April meeting, of brownfield sites recommended by LCPC** i.e. Brays Cnr, 8/10 properties Chicken Farm Thorpe Rd 81 properties, Hayes Garage 10/20 properties and the old Motel site London Rd 35/40 properties.

Again we as a Parish have accepted the Hartley Gns development with some 2,500 properties on our boundary with some inside, we accept the 250 Oakwood Pk (expected to reach 1,000 again on our boundary) All within 1 mile of the Village.

We as a Parish have reluctantly accepted some 100+properties since April 2015 many of which are outside the Village envelope, Carters Meadow and Homing Rd to name a few.

What we cannot accept is this proposed development in the centre of the Village, it would destroy us as a Village

We would, as already recorded, **accept a ribbon development on this site ,in keeping with the adjacent properties, but will not accept Urbanization of that area with a development of 98 properties.**

We strongly feel that with the Chicken Farm application of 81 along with the motel site and Brays Cnr plus Hayes Garage would more than fulfil our quota of housing.

We have repeatedly sought a meeting with Planning Officers by way of e-mails and phone calls to put our case but to no avail. Therefore we say 90% of this agriculture land, green gap needs to remain that way, with only an in keeping ribbon development on the Street side being acceptable for this site.

We therefore have to ask you Councillors can it be really be right to accept 98 properties in a Village environment? **Would you accept it in your Villages ?** Because if you do, then answer to the next question Yes you agree to the destruction of Villages and Village way of life. **Urbanization of our Rural Villages cannot be right.**

Yours,
Cllr J Cutting Chairman

Little Clacton Parish Council

